

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH**

BUD ALLEN, *et. al.*,

Petitioners,

v.

UTAH PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION, and  
QUESTAR GAS COMPANY,

Respondents.

Case No. 20060279  
(Consolidated with  
Case No. 20060280)

Utah Public Service  
Commission Docket Nos.  
04-057-04, 04-057-09,  
04-057-11, 04-057-13  
and 05-057-01

**RESPONSIVE BRIEF OF  
THE UTAH COMMITTEE OF CONSUMER SERVICES**

---

On Petition for Review of a Final Order of the Public Service Commission of Utah

---

JANET I. JENSON (4226)  
JENSON STAVROS & GUELKER  
350 South 400 East, Suite 201  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
*Attorneys for Petitioners Bud Allen, et al.*

MARK SHURTLEFF (4666)  
ATTORNEY GENERAL  
REED T. WARNICK (3391)  
PAUL H. PROCTOR (2657)  
ASSISTANTS ATTORNEY GENERAL  
500 Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South  
Salt lake City, UT 84111  
*Attorneys fo Utah Committee of  
Consumer Services*

C. SCOTT BROWN (4802)  
COLLEEN LARKIN BELL (5253)  
QUESTAR GAS COMPANY  
1809 East First South  
P.O. Box 45360  
Salt Lake City, UT 84145

GREGORY B. MONSON (2294)  
RICHARD R. HALL (9856)  
STOEL RIVES LLP  
201 South Main Street, Suite 1100  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
*Attorneys for Respondent Questar Gas 160  
Company*

SANDER J. MOOY (2309)  
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
400 Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South  
Salt Lake City, UT 84111  
*Attorney for Respondent Public Service  
Commission*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TALE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION .....	1
STATEMENT OF ISSUES PRESENTED ON APPEAL .....	1
DETERMINATIVE STATUTORY PROVISIONS .....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	4
1. Nature of the Case .....	4
2. Course of Proceeding .....	4
3. Statement of Facts .....	15
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	22
ARGUMENT .....	25
<b>I. THE APPELLANTS SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED STANDING TO CHALLENGE THE SETTLEMENT THE PARTICIPATING AND INFORMED PARTIES REACHED IN THIS PROCEEDING .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>II. NEITHER THE COMMISSION’S AUGUST 2004 ORDER NOR THE FACTUAL CIRCUMSTANCES THAT ORDER IS BASED ON GOVERN THE DETERMINATION OF PRUDENCE OR RATE RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING .....</b>	<b>28</b>
C. <i>The Wording and Intent of the Commission’s August 2004 Order Limits its Application to the CBM Processing Costs at Issue in that Case .....</i>	<i>29</i>
B. <i>The Commission’s August 2004 Order Has no Res Judicata or Precedential Application in this Case .....</i>	<i>31</i>
C. <i>The Commission Properly Judged the Prudence of</i>	

	<i>the Costs at Issue in this Proceeding by Considering the Utility Action that Led to those Costs in Light of the Circumstances that Existed at the Time the Action was Taken .....</i>	36
D.	<i>Neither Utah Code §54-4-26 nor the 1994 Planning Standards Proscribe Rate Recovery of CBM Processing Costs Incurred After February 1, 2005 .....</i>	42
III.	THE PARTIES' SETTLEMENT IS JUST AND REASONABLE AND SHOULD BE UPHeld UNDER UTAH LAW THAT ENCOURAGES SETTLEMENT OF MATTERS IN DISPUTE BEFORE THE COMMISSION .....	45
A.	<i>The Settlement Represents a Reasonable Compromise of Conflicting Party Positions Regarding Complex Historical and Technical Issues .....</i>	45
B.	<i>The Record in this Proceeding Supports the Commission's Determination that the Costs at Issue Were Prudently Incurred and Result in Just and Reasonable Rates .....</i>	47
C.	<i>The Commission Did Follow the Requirements of Utah Code §54-7-1 in Approving the Settlement at Issue .....</i>	49
D.	<i>Appellants' Assertions that the Commission Violated Requirements of Due Process Are Untimely and Without Merit .....</i>	51
	CONCLUSION .....	55

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

<b>CASES</b>	<b>Page</b>
<i>Committee of Consumer Services v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n</i> , 75 P.3d 481 (Utah 2003).....	1, 2, 3
<i>Madsen v. Prudential Federal Savings and Loan Assoc.</i> , 767 P.2d 538 (Utah 1988).....	54
<i>Marcus v. Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs</i> , 548 F.2d 1044 (D.C. Cir. 1976).....	54
<i>Missouri ex rel. Southwestern Bell Tel. Co. v. Public Serv. Comm'n</i> , 262 U.S. 276, 289 (1923).....	43
<i>Morton International, Inc. v. Auditing Division</i> , 814 P.2d 581 (Utah 1991).....	2, 3
<i>Pharaon v. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System</i> , 135 F.3d 148 (U.S. App. D.C. 1998) .....	54, 55
<i>Questar Gas v. Utah Public Service Comm'n</i> , 34 P.3d 218 (Utah 2001).....	5
<i>Salt Lake Citizens v. Mountain States Telephone</i> , 846 P.2d 1245 (Utah 1992).....	34, 35
<i>Utah Dept. of Admin. Serv. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n</i> , 658 P.2d 601 (Utah 1983).....	23, 43

## **STATUTES AND RULES**

Utah Code Ann., Section 54-3-1.....	3, 22, 23, 41, 48
Utah Code Ann., Section 54-4-4(4).....	3, 24, 37, 41
Utah Code Ann., Section 54-4-26.....	3, 42, 44
Utah Code Ann., Section 54-7-1.....	3, 22, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50
Utah Code Ann., Section 54-7-12.....	3

Utah Code Ann., Section 54-7-13.....	3, 36
Utah Code Ann., Section 54-7-15.....	3
Utah Code Ann., Section 54-10-4.....	3
Utah Code Ann. Section 63-46b-9.....	14, 27